



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/675,069	09/28/2000	Kenneth W. Batcher	72255/02663	2842
23380 7	11/04/2004		EXAMINER LIPMAN, JACOB	
	LLIS & WEST LLP			
925 EUCLID	IGTON BUILDING AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CLEVELAND, OH 44115-1475			2134	

DATE MAILED: 11/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/675,069	BATCHER, KENNETH W.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jacob Lipman	2134			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reper openiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutive reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 J	une 2004.				
		s action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5) <u></u> 6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	•			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen  2. Certified copies of the priority documen  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receive tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	·	_				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 or No(s)/Mail Date	_	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

1. The corrections were received on 6/14/2004. These corrections are acceptable.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claims 2 and 4 recite the limitation "the step of initiating". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Newton in Encyclopedia of Cryptology.

With regard to claims 1-24, Newton discloses loading into memory a plurality of keys simultaneously to decrypting the previous data frame (page 223, section labeled "priming key"). Newton does not disclose using this method on a computer system. The

Application/Control Number: 09/675,069 Page 3

Art Unit: 2134

examiner takes official notice that encryption algorithms are commonly used on computer systems to speed up the encryption of large amounts of data. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement Newton's algorithm on a computer to speed up the encryption of large amounts of data. Newton discloses using the previously decrypted letter as a key to decrypt the next letter. When used on a computer, a first key is loaded into memory associated with the first encrypted data frame (letter), and the frame is decrypted simultaneous to the loading of the next key (since it is the same action).

### Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 6/14/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Newton discloses an invention that was developed in the 1500s and can therefore not anticipate the disclosed modern computer method. The method disclosed by Newton is an old cryptographic technique of using the currently decrypted item to decrypt the next item. It is thus loading the next key while decrypting the current letter. In the modern computer age, this would be implemented by using a currently decrypted packet to decrypt the next packet. This would be an obvious way to implement the technique in a modern day computer system. The examiner appreciates that this is not the heart of the disclosed invention, but it does read on the claims. The claims don't specify that the loaded key and the decrypted frame are not the same.

### Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/675,069

Page 4

Art Unit: 2134

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacob Lipman whose telephone number is 703-305-0716. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 - 4:00 (M-Th).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse can be reached on 703-308-4789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/675,069 Page 5

Art Unit: 2134

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JL

GREGORY MORSE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100